GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-1899

~~191~~ .

(Miscellaneous)

File No. 41 (Section)

Serial Nos. 1-22.

Papers Filed.

Month May 1908

SUBJECT.

File relating to the orders sanctioning the ~~estimates~~ Estimates for repairs of the Sri Varasimha Swami Temple buildings & Goparam at Suggana Nagadi Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

Mem. 7. 131 of 1908.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 41-98

SUBJECT.

Repairs to the Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Suggavahalli in the Magadi Taluk.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

Letter No. MS. 122 Dated 30th June 1906.

In Govt. Pro No. 1245-6/Muz-F 41-98 Dt/17th November 1903 a measurement bill for Rs 81-8-0 was countersigned and forwarded to the Comptroller and the Deputy Commissioner was asked to report on the non-execution of the work sanctioned in 1893 and he was also requested to submit a complete and revised estimate for all the works yet to be done without taking into account the estimate of 1893 &c. The Deputy Commissioner states that the balance of Rs 18-8-0 was recovered and credited to the Treasury and that the Dy Commr (Mr MS. Narayana Rao) noticed the non-execution

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

the work sanctioned in 1893 and he fined the work Inspector Rs 5/- for negligence of duty, and at the devotees contributed Rs 380/- ~~and that~~ which amount is placed in the Government Savings Banks. He submits for sanction two estimates amounting Rs. 911/- (one for Rs 346/- for constructing Gopuram and the other for Rs 565/- for repairing the temple, the cost being proposed to be met as follows: —

Rs 380 — 4 — 4 from the contribution of the devotees.
531 — 4 — 4 from the State Grant.
Rs. 911 — 4 — 4.

He further reports that an order ~~has been issued~~ has been issued to the Amildar to begin the work in anticipation of sanction.

The question is whether in construction of a Gopuram in the absence of sufficient funds at the credit of the temple, is such an urgent item as to necessitate help from the State Grant-.

Sanction to the Gopuram may, if so desired, be withheld as

Submitted
for
19/7/05

GPB—2,000—13-2-05

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

as being a luxury.

The other estimate for Rs: 565/- may be sanctioned; out of which Rs: 380/- will be met from the contribution made by the devotees, and the balance of Rs 185/- may be sanctioned from the State Grant.

Subd to the 24(v) — Chandrasekhara Rao 18/7/06

210/18.7.06

Approved

Draft issued 18/7/06

Issue the draft put up 19/7/06

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

MGCPB—3.500—15-10-04

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note Continued :—

Of the two Estimates submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, one for Rs. 346/- for the Construction of a Gopuram and the other for Rs. 565/- for repairing the temple, the Government were pleased to Sanction ~~the Estimate~~ the Estimate for Rs. 565/-. The other Estimate was withheld as being a luxury.

No 629-30 Dy 24-7-06.

A Petition was received from Ramachandra Rao and others of Sriganahalli stating that certain items of works required to the temple have been omitted in the Sanctioned Estimate.

No 2991 Dy 10-12-06.

The Deputy Commissioner who was requested to report on the above petition states that the Estimate for the repairs to the inner and exterior walls of the temple has been sanctioned, that the other Estimate

No 133 Dy 16th March 1907.

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

for repairing the Garbagudi, the most important portion of the temple, has not been sanctioned and that the devotees interested in the temple contributed chiefly for the construction of the Gopuram. It is further stated that as this work had to be attended to first, he has ordered to put the work in hand at once in anticipation of Government sanction. He proposes that the cost viz Rs. 346/- may be met from the funds reported to be available at the credit of the temple.

Submitted with a draft.

7/4/07

Issue the draft

7/4/07

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Sl 1

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE — MUZRAI BRANCH — 2944

189

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Muzrayi Department

Dated 13th February 1893

Despatched 16th Dec

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file & a
Pan Book

General No.
D No. 785

I have the honor to forward herewith, for Sanction an estimate for Rs 398/ for repairing the Sree Hara Swamy temple, Suggan halli Magadi Taluk.

This temple receives no Paditara allowance but enjoys an Inam It is an important temple and has many devotees attached to it

To the Sanction 520-1 & 22/2/93
Muz 7147-92

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

There is at present a sum of Rs 425-4-8/1 at the credit of this temple which has been deposited in the Impson Government Savings Bank. The pass book and the Auildavs report are forwarded for reference.

Dy Commissioner

Murrai

Read:— 512.

Docket No. 785

D/ 13th February 1893, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt., submitting for sanction an estimate amounting to Rs 398/- for repairing the Shee Narasima-swamy temple at Suggan--hally village, Magady Taluk.

520-1
2nd 7147.92
22/2/93 Encl file
2d

1 The Ver: records shew that the devotees of the temple who have depo-sited a sum of Rs. 425-4-8 in the Govt Savings Bank have no ob-jection to utilize the same for its repairs.

— " —

order thereon - No. 1. D

2. The estimate for Rs 398/- is sanctioned, the charge being met from the sum of Rs 425-4-8 ~~available at the credit of the institution and~~ deposited in the aforesaid ~~Govt~~ Bank.

The repairs should be carried out under the direct superintendence of the Amildar.

3. In carrying out the repairs, arrangements should be made to provide proper light and ventilation in the temple.

Secretary
C.V.

To
Rev. Commr. Bangalore
returning the Sanad Estimate
& enclosures

Comptroller to the Govt.
of Mysore with copy of
the abstract Estimate.

MUZRAI

1894

SB

From Muz: Supt

THE ~~SECRETARY TO THE~~ GOVERNMENT OF ~~MYSO~~

To

The Dy: Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

Dated 27th August

Despatched

Received

General No. 201

Muzrai F. No. 147-92

Enclosure No.

Adverting to Govt Pro:
No 520-1 d/22nd Febry
Muz 147-92
1893 sanctioning an Estimate
for Rs 398 to repair the
Sri Narasimhaswamy
temple at Suggenahalli
Magadi Taluk, has the
honor to state that it has
been brought to the notice
of the undersigned by
the Dharmakarta of the
temple that very little
of the sanctioned repairs

has been carried out owing to the great dearth of Coolies in the village which is situated in an extreme corner of the Taluk and that it is not possible to push on with the work unless a certain amount of advance is sanctioned therefor.

2. In case the work cannot, as alleged by the Dharmakarta, be carried out easily without the payment of an advance the Dy: Commr: is requested to advance such amount, out of the sanctioned allotment as may be reasonable and get the repairs duly carried out as early as practicable

Taluk Draft

Mun: Draft

18-8-81

294

MUZRAI

189 Cls

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Deputy Comr,
Bangalore District

Dated 11 — February.

Despatched 11

Received

General No. 950

Muzrai F. No. 147-92

Enclosure No.

In forwarding herewith a petition Reg No. 294 dated 18th August 1898 received in this office from Nara Simha Jadacharia, Dharmakarta of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Byagana halli, Magadi Taluk praying for the early repairs of the Temple ~~in question~~ has the honor to enquire if the items of repairs ~~to the temple said temple mentioned in~~ referred to in the petn have been included in the estimate sanctioned in Govt Pro

No. 5201 / Surv 7 147-92 dated 22nd February 1893 and if so why the ~~repairs~~ repairs in question have not yet been carried out although it is now 5 years since the estimate for the same was sanctioned

B—o

A.

Surv Secretary

SCC 1901/1902



From M. N. Narayanrao Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To L. Ananta Swamirao Esqr
Dy Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue and Genl Depts.

Dated 4th
Despatched 5th } October.
Received

General No.
D No.

Enclosure No. (1)

Muzrai Secretary's docket No 450 of the 5th February 1899.
124-92

Returns the petition of Narasimha Bhattacharia Dharmakadi of the Sriniwasa Swami temple praying for execution of repairs to the temple and states that the items of repair have not been included in the [illegible]

Submitted

Further report may be awaited —

Ks 7/10/01

But in the meantime while the Dy Comr's attention may be drawn to Govt Secy no 520-1 dated 22nd Feby 1893 and this Office Dkt no 201 dated 24th Aug 1894, and he may be asked to report as to what has been done in the matter

Ks 7/10/01

Vide no. 561 d/ 15-10-01

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 11th October 1901

From

M. S Narayana Rao Esquire
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esq.
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore, Rev & Genl Dept

Sir,

With reference to Mmzrao Secretary's docket No 950/147-92 dated 11th February 1899, forwarding a petition from Narasimha Bhatachar, Dharmakartha of the Sri Narasimha Swamy temple at Suggunahalli in the Magadi Taluk, I have the honor to state that the items of repairs referred to in the petition, have not been included in the Estimate sanctioned in Government Proceedings No 520-1 dated 22nd February 1893.

Mmz 1-147 92

2 The Executive Engineer

has been requested to prepare and send up an estimate for the work to be done.

3 The petition received with the above is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

MUZRAI

1901 S17

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Deputy Commr,
Bangalore District.

Dated 15th October

Despatched 17th

Received

General No. 561

Muzrai F. No. 147 - 92

Enclosure No.

His letter no D. 406, dated 4th October 1901, reporting on the petition of Narasimha Jata Charya, Dharmakarta of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Suggenahalli, Magadi Taluk praying for the early execution of the sanctioned repairs to the temple.

— " —

with refce to the above, has the honor to draw attention to this Office no. 201 Muzrai 147-92, dated 27th August 1894, and to request to be furnished with a detailed report as to what progress has been made in the execution of the repairs to the temple in question.

2. An early reply is awaited.

By order,

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

ks Dy Secretary.



SR 1901/12

FROM The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Dy Secy to the
Govt of Mysore
Mugrai Dept

Dated 14th
Despatched 17th } February 1902

Received

General No.
D No. 9.

Enclosure No.

Dy Secy's docket No. 561/147-92 of the 15th October 1901.

Submits report on the repairs done to the Narasimhaswami temple at Surgenahalli Magadi Taluk.

Submitted

A measurement-bill for the works already done may be called for—

Yes 19/2/02

19/2/02

Vide no 1258 d/20/2/02

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's office
Bangalore 14th February 1902

From,

M. S. Narayana Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

P. Anantasami Rao Esquire
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 561/147-92 of the 15th October 1901, calling for a report as to the repairs done to Sree Narasimha — swamy Temple at Suggenahalli, Magadi Taluk, I have the honour to state that the repairs mentioned in the Temple are estimated at Rs 81-8-0.

2. The Executive Engineer has been asked to send an estimate for the work yet to be done and it will be submitted for sanction on receipt.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

MUZRAI

1901 02.

From S. I.

To

The Deputy Commr.,
Bangalore District

Dated 20th Feb

Despatched 21st February 02

Received

General No. 1258
Muzrai F. No. 41-98

Enclosure No.

His letter No. D.925, dated 14th February 1902, reporting the repairs to the value of Rs 81. 8. 0 have already been executed to the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Suggenahalli, Maganadi Taluk.

— " —

With reference to the above he has the honor to state that a measurement list of the works done may be submitted to this Office early. ~~for audit of payment~~

2. The Deputy Commr. is requested to complete the sanctioned repairs to the temple and to submit a complete report ~~to this Office~~ at an early date.

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

3. He is also requested to ex

SCR 1901/02

FROM The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Dy Secy to the
Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Dept

Dated 22nd } April 1902
Despatched 23rd }

Received

General No.
D No. 1116

Enclosure No. One

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
23/4/02
Dept
REG. No 755
MUZRAI BRANCH

GA

Please check & submit—

Sd
23/4/02

Refer not complete

Sd
29/5/02

Submd

Whether the bill is for audit or adjustment—is not known—The Dy Comr may be asked to resubmit the same noting down advances paid if any—He promised to send me for the works —— done, but not yet sent—

Sd 10/2 Gufmk 1/9/02

By Secy's docket No 1258 of the 741-98

21st February 1902.

Submits a bill for Rs 81-8-0 for works executed to Sri Narasimha Swami temple in Sugganahalli village of the Magadi Taluk.

D.No. 1168
M. Os.

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's office
Bangalore 22nd April 1902

From M. S. Narayana Rau Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To, L. Krishnamurti Rao Esquire, B.A.
Deputy Secretary to Govt,
Government of Mysore,
Mysore Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 1258/41-98 dated the 21st February 1902, calling for the measurement list of works that have already been executed to raise the Sri Narasimhaswami temple in the Suggenahalli village of the Magadi Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith, the required bill for Rs 81-8-0 for works executed to the said temple. I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant
D. M. Narasinga Rau
Asst Deputy Commissioner

MUZRAI

1901–03 S/11

From

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To

The Dy Comm^r,
Bangalore Dt

Dated 20th September

Despatched

Received

General No. 545

Muzrai F. No. 41–98

Enclosure No. 1

His letter No. 1168, dated 22nd April 1902, submitting a measurement bill for Rs 81-8-0 for works executed to the Sri Narasimha Swami Temple in Suggenahalli village, Magadi ~~taluk~~

— " —

with ref^ce to the above, has the honor to enquire whether the bill submitted is for audit or for adjustment. The bill is returned herewith for ref^ce and re-submission with a note of advances, if any, paid towards the work as re-

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

in the Comptroller's Circular No. 185 dated 27.7.1896

2. The Dy Comm^r is requested to submit the promised Estimate for the repairs remaining to be executed to the Mosque without any further delay.

By order,

15/11/12

Dy Secretary,
Mus. Deptt

Js

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
576.
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1903/04 Sl 12

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt

Dated 30th
Despatched 31 Oct 1903
Received

General No.
S. No. 381

Enclosure No. 3.

31 10 03

Dy Secy's docket No. 545/41-98 of 20 Sept 1902.

States that the bill for Rs 81-8-4½ for audit and return for adjustment of the advance of Rs 100 drawn for the temple and submits a supplemental estimate for sanction for the repairs of the temple.

MGCPB—50 reams—6-12-02

SRB3F1
No. 3

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 30th October
1903

From,

A. H. Sparke Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

L. Muniswami Rao Esq BA.
Deputy Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Mysore Dept

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 545/41-98 dated 20th September 1903, enquiring whether "the bill for Rs 81-8-0 is for adjustment or for payment &c, I have the honor to state that the bill in question is for audit and return for the adjustment of the advance of Rs 1000 drawn from the Surplus funds of the Temple. A supplemental estimate

estimate for Rs 498 for the repairs of the Temple is submitted for sanction. Part of the cost will be met from the sum of Rs 478-13-8 reported to be available at the credit of the Temple in the Savings Bank and I beg to recommend that the balance of Rs 19-2-4 may be kindly sanctioned from the State Grant. The bill for Rs 81-8-0 received with the above is resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

A. K. Pandey

Dy Commissioner

SC 13

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore

M u z r a i

Read:-

Govt. Pro. No. 520-1/147-92 dated 24th February 1893 , sanctioning an estimate for ₹398 for repairing the Sri Nara simhaswami temple at Suggenahalli in the Magadi Taluk, the charge being met from the savings of the Institution invested in the Government Savings Bank.

And,

Correspondence ending with letter No. 381 dated 30th October1903, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, submitting a Measurement bill for ₹81-8-0 for the works executed and stating that an advance of ₹100/- had been already drawn for the works in question and also submitting for sanction supplemental estimate for ₹498 for the repairs of the said temple.

Order thereon No. 1245-6 Enl/18 Enl/1 1/7 G Dated Bangalore 6th November 1903.
Muz:F41-98

Measurement

The bill for ₹81-8-0 received with the letter read above is countersigned and forwarded to the Comptroller for adjustment of the advance drawn from the savings of the Institution. It should be reported whether the balance of the advance of Rs 100 has been recovered and credited to the funds of the institution.

Further works in accordance with the estimate sanctioned in the Pro. read above need not be proceeded with, ~~—~~ supplemental until orders are passed on the

which
estimate has now been received, ~~The question of sanctioning~~ while the
~~this estimate will be disposed after the personal inspection~~ proposals disposed
~~of on early date, of the temple by the Deputy Secretary, to Govt~~
~~in the Agric. Department~~

~~(Personal file)~~

Js
11/11/03

To,

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District. Returning the Estimate

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt. with the Measurement bill.

2. It should be explained why works for the execution of which an Estimate of Rs: 398 was sanctioned in July 1893 have been left unexecuted during a period of 10 years and who is responsible for such neglect.

by devotees those who are Enjoying Inam lands on account of the temple.

Ll
12/11/03
Js

3 A complete and revised Estimate for all the works yet to be done ~~has~~ should be submitted without taking into account the estimate of 1893. It should also be reported whether a certain portion of the amount required for repairs cannot be entrusted

1905-6 Sl 14

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist



To

The Secy: to the Govt of Mysore
Mucl. Dept.

Dated 30-
June 1906.

Despatched 3.7.

Received

General No.
M.S No. 122

Rupees
Rs 1
4/7/06

Enclosures No. 2

Govt. Pro. No. 1245-6 of
M.S 41.98
12.11.03.

Submits for sanction
2 estimates for the repair
of Sri Narasimhaparami
temple at Suggankalli
in the Magadi Taluk.

Bangalore District.
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 30th June 1906

M.S 122
E. 2

From,

D Devaraj Urs Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
L Anantasami Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Mujrai Department

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 1245-6 dated 12th November 1903, Mujrai 41-98 calling for an estimate, &c., for the repair of Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Suggankalli in the Magady Taluk, I have the honor to state that the balance of Rs 18-8-0, out of the advance of Rs 100 has been recovered and credited to the Treasury

in

in the Government Savings bank on 6th September 1904 and 10th September 1904.

2 The Deputy Commissioner, Mr. M.S. Narayan Rao has noticed the non execution of the work sanctioned in 1893 and fined the work Inspector Rs five for his negligence of duty.

3. The devotees of the temple have contributed Rs 380/- and placed the amount in the Government Savings Bank, and I therefore beg to submit for favour of sanction 2 estimates aggregating Rs 911/- (one for Rs 346/- for constructing Sopanam and the other for Rs 565/- for repairing the temple) from the State grant and the contribution amount. The Divisional Officer reports that orders have been issued to the Amildar to begin the work

in

in anticipation of sanction as the work was urgent.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

Compd. Thelly

for Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

Dft-wise 2nd

Muzrai.

SLB5

Read: —

Government Ro. no 520-1/147-92 Dy 24th February 1893, sanctioning an Estimate for Rs. 398/- for repairing the Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Enggamahalli in the Maggadi Taluk.

Govt Ro: no 1245-6 Dy 17th November 1903, Countersigning and forwarding a measurement bill for Rs 81-8-0 for the repairs executed to the temple and requesting the Deputy Comr of the Bangalore Dt to submit a ~~Complete and~~ revised Estimate for all the works yet to be done in the temple without taking into account the

Es Fewats.

sanctioned in
Estimate of 1893

And,

Letter No 1222, 30th June 1906 from the Dy Commissioner Bangalore District, submitting for sanction 2 Estimates amounting to Rs 911/- one for Rs 346/- for constructing a Gopuram and the other for Rs 565/- for repairing the temple ~~for repairs to the Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Sugganahalli, Magadi Taluk.~~

Order thereon No - 629-30 Dy
" - - - - - 24.7.06

~~Out of the two Estimates, the Estimate for the Construction of a Gopuram to the temple may be withheld, as being a luxury and the other~~

The Govt observe that the construction of a Gopuram in the absence of sufficient at the credit of the temple

the put- ovb-
~~is a luxury~~
for the present-
as being a luxury.

2. The other Estimate for Rs. 565/- for repairing the temple is sanctioned, the charge being met as follows:—

Rs 380/- from the Contributions made by the devotees.
Rs. 185/- from the State Grant of 1906-7
Rs. 565

2. The work should be carried out substantially under the personal supervision of the Amildar

Issued
19/7/06

19/7/06
Secretary

Ordered
19/7/06

To
The Dy Comr, Bangalore Dt.
with the Sanctd Estimate
Compliance with a copy
of the abstract

Muz. 1 A]

190

From

To The Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated

Despatched 24-12-1906.

Received

General No. 3307 & 3308
No.

Enclosure No. 1

In continuation of Govt Pro: no 629-30 Dy 24th Jan 1906 Sanctioning an Estimate for Rs 565/- for repairing the Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Suggunahalli, Magadi Taluk, has the honor to forward herewith for report, Petn no 2991 Dy 10th December 1906 from Ramachandra Bhattar & others of Suggunahalli stating that certain

GPB—3,000—21-7-06

Items of works required to
the temple have been omitted
in the saved Estimates

BO

Dfh

22/12/06
Secretary

21
20/12/06

Encl to the Petn

[illegible]

[illegible]

21



Ref
21/3/07

note cont'd on p 2

22/3/07

1906-07 Sl 17

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore
Muzrai Department

Dated 16th March 1907
Despatched 18th

Received

General No.
Ms. No. 133
Enclosure No. File

His No- 3307 of 24.12.06

Reports on the petition
from Ramachander
Chetter & others of Suggana-
halli re repairing Nara-
simhaswami temple.

angalore District.

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Bangalore 16th March 1907.

Ms. No. 133

E. H. Co

From,

H. H. Sparkes Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire BA

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Muzrai Department,

Sir,

With reference to your docket-
No. 3307 dated 24th December 1906, for-
-warding for report Petition dated
10th December 1906, from Ramachender-
Bhattar and others of Suggunahalli,
re the repairing of the Narasimha
swami Temple at the place, I have
the honor to state that, the Amildar
of the Magadi Taluk, to whom the
matter was referred, reports after
inspection that, the estimate

now

now sanctioned is only for the repairs of the interior and exterior walls of the temple. The other estimate, which was for the repair of the Garbhagudi ~~inner~~ temple, the most important portion of the temple has not been sanctioned. The roofing of this portion is ~~shaky and is~~ leaking badly As this had to be attended to first, he has ordered in anticipation of sanction to put that work in hand at once.

2. He also states that as the contribution paid by the devotees was chiefly for the reconstruction of the Gopuram, this work must also be carried out, so that the devotees may not be disappointed further, it will be seen from the report that a sum of Rs 1035 is at the credit of the temple, from which the

cost of the repairs can be met.
Under the above circumstances, I request that you will be so good as to obtain Government sanction for the execution of the work and approve the action taken by the Amildar. The total cost viz (Rs 911/- Rs 185/- sanctioned from the State Grant) Rs 726/- will have to be met out of the Temple funds.

3. The entire vernacular file ending with the Closepet Sub Dursun Officers No 1265 dated 16th February 1907 is herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

H H Cradock
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

Mysore 8/18

Read:—

Government Pro: No 629-30 Dy 24th Jany 1906 sanctioning an Estimate for Rs. 565/- for repairing the Sri Narasimha Swamy Temple at Suggavalli in the Maddi Taluk.

Petn No 299 Dy 10th December 1906 from Ramachandra Bhatta and others of Suggavalli stating that certain items of works required to the Temple have been omitted in the Sanctioned Estimate.

Letter No RL 133 Dy 16th March 1907 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt.

[illegible] my on the above.

Order thereon No 4881-2.45/16 4.07
Encl 1 file
11/

Of the two Estimates Subd
the Deputy Commissioner one
~~Estimate~~ for Rs 565/-
repairing the temple
as Sanctioned in the Govt
and
read above, the other
~~Estimate~~ for Rs. 346/- for
reconstruction of Gopuram
as withheld as being a
work

The Deputy Commissioner
reports that the Garbha
of the most important
portion of the temple, is shaky
& is leaking very badly
& the devotees interested
the temple contributed

chiefly for its construction
and that the work being an
urgent one, the Amildar has been
directed to put the work in
hand at once in anticipa
tion of Government sanction.
He proposes that the cost
Viz 346/- may be met from
the funds reported to be
available at the credit
of the temple

3 Under the circumstances
reported, the Govt are
pleased to sanction the
Estimate for Rs 346/ the
charge being met from the
source pointed out above ~~by~~
~~the Deputy Commissioner~~

4 The work should be
executed under the

To Amildar

7/4/07

Secretary

Rs
7/4/07

To

The Dy Com^r, Bangalore Dt,

With the Sanct^d Estimate &
other enclosures

Comptroller with a Copy
of the Abstract Estimate

Endorsement to the Petrs.



1907. 8 SK19

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Mangalore Taluk.

Dated 1/5

Despatched 22 May 1905

Received

General No.

M. S. No. 121

Enclosure No. 2

Forwards for sanction
2 bills for Rs. 907-7-2
for work executed to the
Narasimhaswami temple
at Suggarahalli in the
Magadi Taluk.

Area
45208
64
Sub 2
5-08

Office of the Dy. Commissioner, Bangalore District.

.121.
E-2

Dated Bangalore, 1st May 1908.

B. J. Kumarasamy Naik Esquire B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

L. Anantasamy Rao Esquire B.A.,

Secy. to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

With reference to Government Proceedings No.4881-2 the 9th April 1907, regarding the restoration of Sri Nara-swami Temple at Sugganahalli in the Magady Taluk, I have nor to forward herewith for sanction two bills as per -- for Rs.565/- for repairing the temple. for Rs.342-7-2 for repairing the Gopuram. } margin, amount-o Rs.907-7-2 for the work executed to the Temple.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Muz. 1]

190 SL20

From

To The Deputy Comr
Bangalore District

Dated

Despatched 12.5.08

Received

General No. 4963
No.

Enclosure No. 2.

His no 121 Dy 4 May 1908
Submitting for Sanction
2 Measurement bills for
907-7-2 for the work
executed to the Sri Nara
Simha Swami temple at
Suggenahalli Magadi Taluk

"

Has the honor to return
herewith the bills referred
to for favour of his

GPL—3,000—19-9-07

transmission to this Office

at an early date —

RSO

11/5/08

Secretary

11/5/08

1907. 8

8121

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Revs. Dept.

Dated 19.

Despatched 20. May 1908.

Received

General No.

M. S No. 132

Enclosure No. 2



Diff submd
22/5/08

His No. 4963 of 12. 5. 08

Forwards counter-signed two Gills for Rs. 907-7-2 for the work executed to Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Suggarhatti in the Magadi Taluk.

Dy. Commissioner
19th May 1908 —

From

B. J. Kumaraswami Naik Esq. B.A
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore district

To Anantaswami Rao Esq. B.A
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Mazrai Deptt.

With reference to your docket No-
3 dated 12th May 1908, I have the
r to forward herewith, countersigned
ills amounting to Rs. 907-7-2 for
work executed to Sri Narasimha
mi temple at Suggunahalli in the
di Taluk

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,
M Madhavarao
Dy. commissioner

Muz. 11]

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai.

Sl 2 7

READ—

Government Proceeding No 4881-2 dated 1st May 1908 sanctioning an estimate amounting to Rs. 911/- for repairing the Sri Narasimha Swamy temple at Suggunahalli in the Magadi Taluk and for the re-construction of Gopuram thereto.

Letter No. 132 dated 19th May 1908 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, forwarding for countersignature and transmission to the Comptoller for audit, 2 Measurement Lists for Rs. 907-7-2 on account of work executed up to 9-3-1908

X
Ufw Rs 565-0-0
Dfw 342-7-2
907-7-2

ORDER THEREON.—No. 5307-8

Dated Bangalore, 29.5. 1908

The two Measurement Lists for Rs. 907-7-2 are ~~is~~ countersigned and forwarded to the Comptoller for audit

Dfh 27/5/08

Secretary, Muz. Dept.

W 27/5/08

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, with the Measurement Lists

GPB—500—20-8-07